

Review

## **Toxicity of Cadmium in plants and strategies to reduce its effects. Case study: The tomato**

Yenisei Hernández-Baranda<sup>1\*</sup>

Pedro Rodríguez-Hernández<sup>2</sup>

Mirella Peña-Icart<sup>3</sup>

Yanitza Meriño-Hernández<sup>4</sup>

Omar Cartaya-Rubio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Agrícolas (INCA), carretera San José-Tapaste, km 3½, Gaveta Postal 1, San José de las Lajas, Mayabeque, Cuba. CP 32 700

<sup>2</sup>Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Corpoica), Centro De Investigación Obonuco. Kilómetro 5, Vía Pasto-Obonuco, San Juan de Pasto, Nariño, Colombia

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Materiales, Universidad de La Habana, La Habana, Cuba

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Producción Agrícola, Universidad de Granma, Granma, Cuba

\* Author for correspondence. [yenisei@inca.edu.cu](mailto:yenisei@inca.edu.cu)

### **ABSTRACT**

Cadmium (Cd) is one of the most toxic heavy metals. Its high mobility and bioaccumulative power differentiate it from the rest of its group and motivate the interest of scientists to know its effects and interaction with plants. In the present work, a bibliographic review of the main mechanisms of entry and transport of the Cd in the plants and their toxic effects in them was carried out. Also, issues such as the defense mechanisms of plants against Cd stress and existing strategies to reduce their toxicity are addressed. Within the different crops, tomato is of special interest, because it is the most widespread vegetable in the world and has been shown to be a plant tolerant to Cd and with potential for its accumulation.

**Key words:** heavy metals, relationship, vegetables

## INTRODUCTION

Cadmium is a highly toxic transition metal at very low exposure levels and has acute and chronic effects on the health of plants, animals, humans and all living things in general. Because of industrial activity and anthropization, it is estimated that 30.000 tons of Cd are released into the environment every year <sup>(1)</sup>. Therefore, in different parts of the planet and in our country, Cd levels have been in water, soil and plants, detected that exceed the permissible limits established for different uses <sup>(2-7)</sup>.

Cadmium is not degradable in nature, so once released to the environment it will remain in circulation. This property together with its high mobility, bioaccumulative power and toxicity at very low concentrations make it one of the most important heavy metals. In the 1960s, environmental contamination with this metal became apparent when in Japan more than 100 people died from a disease named Itai-Itai, which was caused by high concentrations of Cd in the Jinzu River, in rice ( $4.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) and consequently in the human body <sup>(8)</sup>. These facts motivated the interest of soil and plant science to know and control the effects that metal produced in different crops.

This metal is as one of the most toxic and inhibitory of the physiological processes of plants recognized. Studies in several crops have shown that it reduces growth, photosynthetic activity, perspiration and chlorophyll content <sup>(9-12)</sup>. In addition, it causes chlorosis, oxidative stress, and nutritional imbalances and modifies the activity of enzymes, involved in the metabolism of organic acids and in the Krebs cycle <sup>(13-16)</sup>. In general, the effects caused in some physiological processes can be so marked that plants are not able to evade them and manifest themselves in other processes. The toxicity of Cd can lead to the death of the plant and this depends, among other factors, on the exposure time, the metal content and the specific adaptations they develop.

The specific adaptations of plants to Cd stress are two main mechanisms based on. Some prevent or regulate the entry and transport of the same <sup>(17)</sup> and others tolerate certain contents of Cd, through its detoxification, by chelation in intracellular organelles <sup>(18)</sup>. Based on these tolerance mechanisms, several research groups have proposed different strategies to lessen the effects of Cd on plants. Most strategies include making changes in nutrition management <sup>(19)</sup>. Nevertheless, other practices have also shown favorable results, such as inoculation with beneficial bacteria <sup>(20)</sup>, grafts on resistant patterns <sup>(21, 22)</sup>, addition of different growth regulators <sup>(23,24)</sup> and application of amendment in the soil.

Knowing the interaction of Cd with plants, as well as the search for alternatives to minimize its effects have caught the interest of the scientific community, product of the accelerated growth of contamination with this metal and its high toxicity. The objective of this study is to make an updated review of research results related to these aspects.

Within the different crops, tomato is of special interest, because it is the most widespread vegetable in the world and of greater economic value. It has been not only as food used, but also as a model plant in dissimilar research. The tomato plant has many interesting features, such as fleshy fruit, a sympodial bud and compound leaves, which other model plants (for example, rice and Arabidopsis) do not have <sup>(25)</sup>. In addition, some of its varieties have shown to be a Cd tolerant plant, with potential for accumulation <sup>(26)</sup>.

### **Cadmium absorption and transportation in plants**

Cd enters the plant mainly in the form of  $Cd^{2+}$ , since its chelated ions are generally not available for root absorption. The epidermal cell layer is the first tissue for ion uptake and within it; radical hairs are the most active area to absorb ions from the soil and is the structure that facilitates the absorption of  $Cd^{2+}$  <sup>(27)</sup>.

Three different routes of Cd entry into the root have been proposed <sup>(28)</sup>:

*First way:* in the plasma membrane of the epidermal cells of the root,  $CO_2$  (ac) dissociates into  $H^+$  and  $HCO_3^-$ , through plant respiration. The  $H^+$  is with the  $Cd^{2+}$  exchanged, of the soil and the metal adsorbs on the surface of the epidermal cells of the root. This adsorption process is rapid and does not require energy and is the stage preceding the subsequent absorption of  $Cd^{2+}$  in the epidermis through the apoplast pathway.

*Second way:* the Cd is a non-essential element and, therefore, it is assumed that the plants do not have specific input mechanisms for it. It enters the plant cells through the  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  essential metal transporters, as is the case with IRT1 and LCT<sub>1</sub> proteins. After combined with the transporter proteins, the Cd enters the epidermis layer of the root, through the path of the simplast.

*Third way:* to increase the availability of ions in the rhizosphere soil, the roots of plants secrete compounds of low molecular mass, such as mugineic acids (MA), which form complexes with  $Cd^{2+}$ . Therefore,  $Cd^{2+}$  enters the root epidermis layer through YSL-like proteins in the form of chelates.

The movement of Cd from the root to the stem is through three processes controlled: the sequestration of metals within the root cells; the transport to the xylem and the release of the metal to the xylem<sup>(29)</sup>. Retention is the product of apoplastic barriers and chelation in vacuoles; it demonstrated that phytochelatin and other thiols are the main chelators in the kidnapping of Cd at the root<sup>(30)</sup>. Another of the proposed mechanisms of Cd retention in roots is through the impregnation of the suberine in the cell wall during the maturation of exodermis and endodermis, which affects the plasticity and restricts its movement to the xylem<sup>(31)</sup>.

The transfer and remobilization of the Cd from the xylem to the phloem is another crucial process in the transport of this ion. Other authors identified high concentrations of phytochelatin, glutathione and Cd in the sap of the *Brassicanaapus* phloem and suggested that the phloem is also a conduit for the transport of the Cd-phytochelatin and Cd-glutathione complexes<sup>(32)</sup>.

### **Effects of toxicity per cadmium on plants**

Numerous authors have studied the toxic effects of Cd on plants. The main visible symptoms caused by Cd toxicity are chlorosis and leaf curl. Chlorosis may appear by exchanging Cd with Fe or Mg<sup>(13)</sup>, in the latter case affecting the stability and biosynthesis of chlorophylls. Damages also associated with chlorosis are P deficiency and reduction in the transport of Mn<sup>(33)</sup>.

The reduction in photosynthetic growth and activity, nutritional imbalance, oxidative stress and effects on enzymatic activities are the most pronounced damages that are in the different studies of toxicity with Cd frequently expressed (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Effects of Cd on the physiological processes of various crops

	Physiological effects	Plants	Reference
Reduction in growth and development	Reduction of root length, leaf area and fresh root, stem and leaf biomass	Pepper	(9,34)
	Root and leaf biomass reduction	Lettuce Radish	(35,36)
	It showed no fruit production in 90 days.	Soy	
		Tomato	(11)
Photosynthetic activity reduction	Reduction in chlorophyll content	Pea Potato Soy	(37-39)
	Decrease Net Photosynthetic Rate	Soy	(39)
	Reduction in the content of chlorophylls and carotenoids	Tomato	(11)
Interferes in the entry and transport of nutrients	Reduction of N fixation and assimilation of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> in nodules	Soy	(40)
	Reduction of total concentrations of K, P, Ca, Mn, Zn, S and B	Pea	(41)
	Reduction of Mn and increase of Fe and Zn	Soy	(42)
	Reduction of Zn, Mn, Ca and K in the leaf tissue	Tomato	(43)
	Mn reduction in root and leaves	Tomato	(44)
	Reduction of Mn, Zn, Cu, Fe and Ca in root, stem and leaves	Potato Lettuce	(45)
	Increase in P, K, Ca Mg, Fe and Zn in stems and leaves (Cd-1; 2.5; and 5 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Onion	(15)
	Reduction in more severe stress conditions		
Provokes oxidative stress	Increased concentrations of Malondialdehyde (MDA) and H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Tomato	(46,47)
	Increase in MDA concentrations	Lettuce Pea	(35,37)

In general, the presence of Cd causes varied effects on enzyme activities. Enzymes containing sulfhydryl groups are the most prone to oxidation caused by Cd, it destroys the disulfide bridges, causing protein denaturation and its consequent enzymatic activities <sup>(12,13)</sup>. The Cd also causes inhibition in the activities of the metalloenzymes, due to the substitution of it with metals with similar load or size such as Zn and Mg, the latter present in the enzyme Rubisco and its exchange with the Cd results in dissociation of the enzyme in subunits <sup>(13)</sup>. Several authors have suggested that Cd toxicity causes oxidative stress in plants, either by an exaggerated increase in the production of reactive oxygen species, or by a deficit in the antioxidant response <sup>(48)</sup>. However, other authors believe that Cd does not act directly in the production of reactive oxygen species <sup>(49)</sup>.

## Stress tolerance mechanisms for Cd

The specific adaptations of plants to Cd stress are two main strategies based on; some prevent or regulate the entry and transport of the same and others tolerate certain contents of Cd, through its detoxification, by chelation in intracellular organelles <sup>(17,18)</sup>. Other tolerance mechanisms are, the increase of the antioxidant defense system, cell homeostasis <sup>(50)</sup>, the increase in endogenous production of plant growth regulators and the modification of metabolism in function of repairing the damaged cell structure <sup>(51,52)</sup>.

The plants prevent the entry of Cd by immobilizing it in the cell wall of the roots through links with extracellular exudates, such as polygalacturonide acids and this limits their transport to the aerial part <sup>(53)</sup>. Other plants have developed tolerance to stress, accumulating metals in the leaves, in the form of stable non-toxic metal complexes, with different chelants: organic acids, amino acids, ferritins, phytochelatins and metallothioneins. Studies have shown that vacuoles are the site of heavy metal accumulation including Zn and Cd <sup>(54)</sup>.

Within the different chelants in plants, phytochelatins have shown greater capacity to form complexes with the Cd, hence they have been subject to analysis in several tolerance studies. Plants that overexpress the enzyme phytochelatin synthase showed a greater tolerance against Cd <sup>(55)</sup>.

It has also been shown that plant exposure to Cd results in an increase in sulfate assimilation <sup>(56)</sup> and in the activity of enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of GSH, the starting substrate in the synthesis of phytochelatins <sup>(57)</sup>. Two cell lines of tomato plants tolerant to Cd have been identified and their tolerance capacity depends on the potential of cells to synthesize phytochelatins, and complex with Cd <sup>(58)</sup>.

However, other evidence indicates that the increase in the production of phytochelatins is not responsible for the high tolerance to Cd in some plants, since both sensitive and tolerant populations produce equivalent amounts of phytochelatins when exposed to equal concentrations of Cd <sup>(59)</sup>. In addition to phytochelatins, other amino acids and vitamins have also shown alterations against Cd, an increase in the contents of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, asparagine, tyrosine and proline was observed in different tomato cultivars exposed to stress by this metal <sup>(49,60)</sup>.

An extreme case of the accumulation strategy is that of hyperaccumulating plants, which can exceed 100 or more times the normal values of metals found in the aerial part. Plants mostly contain measurable levels of Cd mainly in the roots, but when they exceed the established

threshold of  $10 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  (0.01 % dry mass) they are considered hyperaccumulators of this metal <sup>(61)</sup>. Hyperaccumulation occurs in unrelated families. Most species and those with greater absorption capacity have been found in naturally occurring sites rich in metals, among them *Arabidosisalleri*, *Thlaspirotundifolium* and *praecox*, *Sedumalfredii*, *Salsolakali* and *Viola baoshanensis* are identified, but also a large number of them grow in clean soils such as *Solanumnigrum* and *Rorippa globosa* <sup>(62)</sup>.

It has been shown that in tomato cultivation the accumulation and tolerance of stress due to Cd depends on the variety. The most tolerant varieties, Río Grande and other non-referred were evaluated, respectively, in other investigations for their possible use in the phytoremediation of contaminated soils <sup>(63,64)</sup>. In both studies, the tomato behaved like an exclusive plant, with a higher concentration of Cd in the roots ( $13.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  and  $4.3 \text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ). According to research, the variety under study can only accumulate Cd in soils with low metal levels because its accumulation capacity decreases with increasing pollution. In addition, cultivating Shenbaofen-2 is tolerant and identified as a Cd hyperaccumulator, accumulated amounts of 144 and  $130 \text{mg kg}^{-1}$  in roots and aerial tissues respectively <sup>(26)</sup>.

However, Tres Cantos tomato variety, originally from Tenerife, was not identified as a hyperaccumulator or with potential for phytoextraction, but the results indicated that it developed tolerance mechanisms in the processes of nutrient absorption and distribution, and preserved its growth without affect biomass production <sup>(65)</sup>.

Other varieties showed lower tolerance than the previous ones, since they did not maintain their biomass production without affectation, but they were not as sensitive varieties identified. Within this group are varieties 4641 and Yufen 109 that accumulated respectively 2,316 and 2,237  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  of Cd in fruits <sup>(66)</sup>. Another study in these cultivars confirmed their translocation capabilities, since Cd accumulated mainly in leaves and stems, and the 4641 variety showed greater resistance to stress than Yufen 109 <sup>(67)</sup>. The Ibiza F1 variety is also included within this group, with the difference that developed the exclusion as a tolerance mechanism. The highest concentration of Cd was found in the roots, but its accumulation in this organ and in leaves increased with increasing levels of Cd in solution <sup>(68)</sup>.

On the other hand, the Rutgers tomato variety was as a non-tolerant species of Cd and Zn identified <sup>(69)</sup>. In addition, the Navodaya cultivar was as sensitive to high doses of Cd identified and the flowering phase showed greater sensitivity than fruiting <sup>(70)</sup>.

Other authors evaluated the degree of tolerance to Cd of 10 tomato varieties and in all cases observed reductions in growth and development indicators, but each cultivar showed an inherent ability to tolerate Cd stress. Varieties K-25, K -21 and NTS-9 showed maximum resistance, the Kaveri, NbR-Uday and Swarnodya varieties were moderately affected, the Sarvodya, NBR-Uttam and Maltti varieties experienced severe damage and the S-22 variety did not survive in the presence of Cd <sup>(71)</sup>.

A study similar to the previous one was in 100 tomato genotypes conducted. Genotypes 9086, Roma, Sitara TS-01, pak0010990, CLN-2123A, PICDENEATO, 0.006231 and 7035 showed the best yields while genotypes 42-07, 17883, BL-1176-Riostone-1-1, MARMANDE and 17882 showed low yields in both groups the highest concentration of Cd was in the aerial tissues with respect to the root, the tolerant genotypes accumulated mainly in the buds and the sensitive ones in the fruit. Both results confirm that Cd stress tolerance has a varietal response in crops such as tomato <sup>(72)</sup>.

### **Strategies to mitigate stress by cadmium**

Due to the damage caused by Cd toxicity in plants and the risk caused by their accumulation in them, several research groups have proposed different strategies to reduce their effects. Most strategies include making changes in nutrition management. However, other practices have also shown favorable results, such as inoculation with beneficial bacteria <sup>(20)</sup>, grafts on resistant patterns <sup>(21,22)</sup>, the addition of different growth regulators <sup>(23,24)</sup> and the application of amendment in soil.

Many authors suggest the optimization of nutrient management as a useful strategy to mitigate Cd toxicity. A group of authors carried out a review on the subject in 2012 <sup>(19)</sup>. Subsequent research in other crops and other elements continued to promote adequate nutrition as a way to mitigate Cd stress. Among the different nutrients P, K, S, Fe and Zn showed significant favorable effects. The application of P in wheat plants increased the biomass of the shoots, the leaf area, and the content of photosynthetic pigments and, in turn, favored the assimilation of other nutrients, such as K, Ca, Mg and Mn. It also increased the activity of antioxidant enzymes and decreased the content of Cd and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the outbreaks <sup>(73)</sup>.

On the other hand, the addition of K reduced the absorption and translocation of Cd in sunflower plants and inhibited the increase in membrane permeability caused by stress. However, in this study no effects were observed on organ biomass, nor on the content of



photosynthetic pigments <sup>(74)</sup>, although in another investigation it was proposed that K participates in the formation of photosynthetic pigments and prevents the decomposition of chlorophylls <sup>(75)</sup>.

Similarly, the KCl supplement in rice plants grown with high concentrations of CdCl<sub>2</sub> increased its growth and decreased the activity of the enzyme NADPH oxidase <sup>(76)</sup>. However, other results showed that K deficiency protects rice plants from subsequent oxidative stress caused by Cd, as it increases the activities of antioxidant enzymes (superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase and catalase).

Unlike K sufficiency, its deficiency does not inhibit the entry of Cd into the plant <sup>(77)</sup>. The results showed that both K deficiency and deficiency have positive effects to mitigate Cd stress, but with different consequences each. Similar to K, the deficiency of Mg, Ca and N allows the previous activation of the antioxidant defense, but does not prevent the absorption of Cd by the roots <sup>(78-80)</sup>.

In the case of S, some authors suggest that it is involved in the biosynthesis of heavy metal detoxifying agents <sup>(81)</sup>. In mustard (*Brassicajuncea*) the application of 30 μM and 300 μM of S reduced the impact on chlorophyll content and increased the activities of antioxidant enzymes, ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase and catalase <sup>(82)</sup>.

Numerous studies have shown that adequate nutrition of Fe can mitigate Cd toxicity. Exogenous application of Fe decreased the concentration of Cd in tomato and rice plants <sup>(67,76)</sup> and there are criteria that an adequate concentration of Fe can promote photosynthesis and perspiration, as well as increasing the dry mass of each organ in tomato plants <sup>(67)</sup>. On the other hand, the foliar application of the Zn-lys complex in wheat, increased photosynthesis, grain yield, enzymatic activities and reduced oxidative stress and Cd content in the different organs of the plant <sup>(83)</sup>.

In addition to the macro and micronutrients analyzed, there are other elements such as La, Se and Si, which have shown favorable effects in the mitigation of Cd stress. A study in two tomato varieties (var 4641 and Yufen109) enriched with Cd, showed that the application of 10 and 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of LaCl<sub>3</sub> reduces the concentration of Cd in leaves, stem, roots and fruits. Consequently it reduces the damage caused by Cd in growth and yield <sup>(66)</sup>.

Another study, which is based on pretreatment with different forms of selenium (selenocysteine, selenite and sodium selenate), induced the biosynthesis of melatonin. In

turn, the presence of Se and melatonin increased tolerance to Cd, with reductions in decreased growth, photoinhibition and loss of electrolytes <sup>(84)</sup>.

The Si is not an essential nutrient considered, but it is a beneficial element to maintain growth in stressful environments. Facing the toxicity of Cd has also increased the resistance of various crops. In peanut, tomato and cucumber plants, the concentration of Cd in the shoots and leaves decreased, but the mechanisms involved in it were different for each species. In tomato and peanut the transport of Cd from the root to the leaves was reduced and in cucumber the absorption of Cd by the roots decreased <sup>(85,86)</sup>.

However, other authors demonstrated that in tomato the presence of Si in the soil (25, 50 and 75 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) caused the precipitation of Cd in it and consequently the reduction of its bioavailability for root uptake <sup>(87)</sup>. Applications of CaSiO<sub>3</sub> in ornamental amaranth plants (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L) reduced the concentration of Cd in the root, stem, leaves, and increased the dry mass and the content of photosynthetic pigments. The increase in the content of Cd in the chloroplasts and the change of free ions to inactive forms sequestered in cell compartments were other advantages also associated with CaSiO<sub>3</sub> <sup>(88)</sup>.

Another study showed that the application of Si in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reduced the content of Cd absorbed by the plant by 50 % and increased the antioxidant enzyme activity. The proteomic analysis of this study allowed us to conclude that Si has an active participation in the mechanisms involved in tolerance to Cd <sup>(89)</sup>. On the other hand, the pretreatment of wheat plants with 50- $\mu$ M salicylic acid contributed to maintain plant growth at levels close to the control. This pretreatment also regulated the concentration of abscisic acid and idolacetic acid which are substances affected by Cd <sup>(90)</sup>.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Cd toxicity reduces growth, photosynthetic activity, and chlorophyll content and causes chlorosis mainly in young leaves. In addition, it interferes with the entry and transport of nutrients and causes oxidative stress and effects on enzymatic activities.
- The formation of complexes between Cd and generally sulfur proteins are the main mechanism of tolerance of plants to toxicity by this metal. Through the formation of these complexes, plants prevent or regulate the entry and transport of the Cd or detoxify the metal and tolerate certain contents in intracellular organelles.

- The response to toxicity by Cd in tomato plants depends on the variety, some varieties do not survive in the presence of Cd and others, however, are tolerant and even classify as metal hyper-accumulators.
- Nutrient management is one of the most efficient strategies to reduce the effects of Cd on plants. The studies carried out on this topic only evaluate the effects of certain independent nutrients and the simultaneous effects of the combination of several of them are not evaluated.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Järup L, Åkesson A. Current status of cadmium as an environmental health problem. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 2009;238(3):201–8. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2009.04.020
2. Duressa TF, Leta S. Determination of levels of As, Cd, Cr, Hg and Pb in soils and some vegetables taken from river mojo water irrigated farmland at Koka Village, Oromia State, East Ethiopia. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research*. 2015;21(2):352–72.
3. Gimba CE, Ndukwe GI, Paul ED, Habila JD, Madaki LA. Heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn,) assessment of groundwater, in Kaltungo LGA, Gombe State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Science and Technology*. 2015;4(2):49–56.
4. Abdel-Satar AM, Ali MH, Goher ME. Indices of water quality and metal pollution of Nile River, Egypt. *The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*. 2017;43(1):21–9.
5. Ahmad MK, Islam S, Rahman MS, Haque MR, Islam MM. Heavy metals in water, sediment and some fishes of Buriganga River, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Environmental Research*. 2010;4(2):321–32.
6. Mohod CV. A review on the concentration of the heavy metals in vegetable samples like spinach and tomato grown near the area of Amba Nalla of Amravati City. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2015;4(5):2788–92.
7. Olivares Rieumont S, García Céspedes D, Lima Cazorla L, Saborit Sánchez I, Llizo Casals A, Pérez Álvarez P. Niveles de cadmio, plomo, cobre y zinc en hortalizas cultivadas en una zona altamente urbanizada de la ciudad de la Habana, Cuba. *Revista internacional de contaminación ambiental*. 2013;29(4):285–94.
8. Ogawa T, Kobayashi E, Okubo Y, Suwazono Y, Kido T, Nogawa K. Relationship among prevalence of patients with Itai-itai disease, prevalence of abnormal urinary findings, and cadmium concentrations in rice of individual hamlets in the Jinzu River basin, Toyama prefecture of Japan. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research*. 2004;14(4):243–52. doi:10.1080/09603120410001725586

9. Huang B, Xin J, Dai H, Liu A, Zhou W, Yi Y, et al. Root morphological responses of three hot pepper cultivars to Cd exposure and their correlations with Cd accumulation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2015;22(2):1151–9.
10. Jinadasa N, Collins D, Holford P, Milham PJ, Conroy JP. Reactions to cadmium stress in a cadmium-tolerant variety of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.): is cadmium tolerance necessarily desirable in food crops? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2016;23(6):5296–306.
11. Hédiji H, Djebali W, Belkadhi A, Cabasson C, Moing A, Rolin D, et al. Impact of long-term cadmium exposure on mineral content of *Solanum lycopersicum* plants: consequences on fruit production. *South African Journal of Botany*. 2015;97:176–81.
12. Lösch R. Plant mitochondrial respiration under the influence of heavy metals. In: *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants*. Springer; 2004. p. 182–200.
13. Myśliwa-Kurdziel B, Prasad MNV, Strzałka K. Photosynthesis in Heavy Metal Stressed Plants. In: Prasad MNV, editor. *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants: From Biomolecules to Ecosystems* [Internet]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2004 [cited 2019 Nov 18]. p. 146–81. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-07743-6\_6
14. Nogueirol RC, Monteiro FA, Gratão PL, da Silva BK de A, Azevedo RA. Cadmium application in tomato: nutritional imbalance and oxidative stress. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*. 2016;227(6):210.
15. Li X, Zhou Q, Sun X, Ren W. Effects of cadmium on uptake and translocation of nutrient elements in different welsh onion *Allium fistulosum* L.) cultivars. *Food chemistry*. 2016;194:101–10.
16. Shaw BP, Sahu SK, Mishra RK. Heavy metal induced oxidative damage in terrestrial plants. In: *Heavy metal stress in plants*. Springer; 2004. p. 84–126.
17. Clemens S, Palmgren MG, Krämer U. A long way ahead: understanding and engineering plant metal accumulation. *Trends in plant science*. 2002;7(7):309–15.
18. Clemens S. Toxic metal accumulation, responses to exposure and mechanisms of tolerance in plants. *Biochimie*. 2006;88(11):1707–19.
19. Nazar R, Iqbal N, Masood A, Khan MIR, Syeed S, Khan NA. Cadmium toxicity in plants and role of mineral nutrients in its alleviation. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2012;3(10):1476.
20. Aloui A, Recorbet G, Robert F, Schoefs B, Bertrand M, Henry C, et al. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis elicits shoot proteome changes that are modified during cadmium stress alleviation in *Medicago truncatula*. *BMC plant biology*. 2011;11(1):75.
21. Savvas D, Ntatsi G, Barouchas P. Impact of grafting and rootstock genotype on cation uptake by cucumber *Cucumis sativus* L.) exposed to Cd or Ni stress. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2013;149:86–96. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2012.06.030

22. Gratão PL, Monteiro CC, Tezotto T, Carvalho RF, Alves LR, Peters LP, et al. Cadmium stress antioxidant responses and root-to-shoot communication in grafted tomato plants. *BioMetals*. 2015;28(5):803–16. doi:10.1007/s10534-015-9867-3
23. Pompeu GB, Vilhena MB, Gratão PL, Carvalho RF, Rossi ML, Martinelli AP, et al. Abscisic acid-deficient sit tomato mutant responses to cadmium-induced stress. *Protoplasma*. 2017;254(2):771–83.
24. Farooq MA, Ali S, Hameed A, Bharwana SA, Rizwan M, Ishaque W, et al. Cadmium stress in cotton seedlings: physiological, photosynthesis and oxidative damages alleviated by glycinebetaine. *South African Journal of Botany*. 2016;104:61–8.
25. Kimura S, Sinha N. Tomato *Solanum lycopersicum*: a model fruit-bearing crop. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*. 2008;(11):pdb.emo105.
26. He L-Y, Chen Z-J, Ren G-D, Zhang Y-F, Qian M, Sheng X-F. Increased cadmium and lead uptake of a cadmium hyperaccumulator tomato by cadmium-resistant bacteria. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2009;72(5):1343–8.
27. Seregin IV, Ivanov VB. Is the endodermal barrier the only factor preventing the inhibition of root branching by heavy metal salts? *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 1997;44(6):797–800.
28. Song Y, Jin L, Wang X. Cadmium absorption and transportation pathways in plants. *International journal of phytoremediation*. 2017;19(2):133–41.
29. Mendoza-Cózatl DG, Jobe TO, Hauser F, Schroeder JI. Long-distance transport, vacuolar sequestration, tolerance, and transcriptional responses induced by cadmium and arsenic. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*. 2011;14(5):554–62. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2011.07.004
30. Nocito FF, Lancilli C, Dendena B, Lucchini G, Sacchi GA. Cadmium retention in rice roots is influenced by cadmium availability, chelation and translocation. *Plant, cell & environment*. 2011;34(6):994–1008.
31. Lux A, Martinka M, Vaculík M, White PJ. Root responses to cadmium in the rhizosphere: a review. *Journal of experimental botany*. 2010;62(1):21–37.
32. Mendoza-Cózatl DG, Butko E, Springer F, Torpey JW, Komives EA, Kehr J, et al. Identification of high levels of phytochelatins, glutathione and cadmium in the phloem sap of *Brassica napus*. A role for thiol-peptides in the long-distance transport of cadmium and the effect of cadmium on iron translocation. *The Plant Journal*. 2008;54(2):249–59. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03410.x
33. Godbold DL, Hüttermann A. Effect of zinc, cadmium and mercury on root elongation of *Picea abies* (Karst.) seedlings, and the significance of these metals to forest die-back. *Environmental Pollution Series A, Ecological and Biological*. 1985;38(4):375–81.

34. Xin J, Huang B, Dai H, Liu A, Zhou W, Liao K. Characterization of cadmium uptake, translocation, and distribution in young seedlings of two hot pepper cultivars that differ in fruit cadmium concentration. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2014;21(12):7449–56.
35. Monteiro MS, Santos C, Soares A, Mann RM. Assessment of biomarkers of cadmium stress in lettuce. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental safety*. 2009;72(3):811–8.
36. Wang P, Deng X, Huang Y, Fang X, Zhang J, Wan H, et al. Root morphological responses of five soybean *Glycine max* (L.) Merr] cultivars to cadmium stress at young seedlings. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2016;23(2):1860–72.
37. Agrawal SB, Mishra S. Effects of supplemental ultraviolet-B and cadmium on growth, antioxidants and yield of *Pisum sativum* L. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*. 2009;72(2):610–8.
38. Hassan W, Bano R, Bashir S, Aslam Z. Cadmium toxicity and soil biological index under potato *Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivation. *Soil Research*. 2016;54(4):460–8.
39. Xue Z, Gao H, Zhao S. Effects of cadmium on the photosynthetic activity in mature and young leaves of soybean plants. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2014;21(6):4656–64.
40. Balestrasse KB, Benavides MP, Gallego SM, Tomaro ML. Effect of cadmium stress on nitrogen metabolism in nodules and roots of soybean plants. *Functional plant biology*. 2003;30(1):57–64.
41. Metwally A, Safronova VI, Belimov AA, Dietz K-J. Genotypic variation of the response to cadmium toxicity in *Pisum sativum* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2004;56(409):167–78.
42. Zhi Y, He K, Sun T, Zhu Y, Zhou Q. Assessment of potential soybean cadmium excluder cultivars at different concentrations of Cd in soils. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*. 2015;35:108–14.
43. Bertoli AC, Cannata MG, Carvalho R, Bastos ARR, Freitas MP, dos Santos Augusto A. *Lycopersicon esculentum* submitted to Cd-stressful conditions in nutrition solution: nutrient contents and translocation. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*. 2012;86:176–81.
44. Dong J, Wu F, Zhang G. Influence of cadmium on antioxidant capacity and four microelement concentrations in tomato seedlings *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Chemosphere*. 2006;64(10):1659–66. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.01.030
45. Khan A, Khan S, Alam M, Khan MA, Aamir M, Qamar Z, et al. Toxic metal interactions affect the bioaccumulation and dietary intake of macro-and micro-nutrients. *Chemosphere*. 2016;146:121–8.

46. Nogueirol RC, Monteiro FA, Gratão PL, da Silva BK de A, Azevedo RA. Cadmium application in tomato: nutritional imbalance and oxidative stress. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*. 2016;227(6):210.
47. Zhao S, Ma Q, Xu X, Li G, Hao L. Tomato Jasmonic Acid-Deficient Mutant spr2 Seedling Response to Cadmium Stress. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*. 2016;35(3):603–10. doi:10.1007/s00344-015-9563-0
48. Sandalio LM, Dalurzo HC, Gómez M, Romero-Puertas MC, del Río LA. Cadmium-induced changes in the growth and oxidative metabolism of pea plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2001;52(364):2115–26. doi:10.1093/jexbot/52.364.2115
49. Salin ML. Toxic oxygen species and protective systems of the chloroplast. *Physiologia Plantarum*. 1988;72(3):681–9. doi:10.1111/j.1399-3054.1988.tb09182.x
50. Florijn PJ, Van Beusichem ML. Uptake and distribution of cadmium in maize inbred lines. *Plant and soil*. 1993;150(1):25–32.
51. Verkleij J, Shaw J. *Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants: Evolutionary Aspects*. CRC Press; 179AD. 372 p.
52. Wang X, Song Y, Ma Y, Zhuo R, Jin L. Screening of Cd tolerant genotypes and isolation of metallothionein genes in alfalfa *Medicago sativa* L.). *Environmental pollution*. 2011;159(12):3627–33.
53. Wang P, Deng X, Huang Y, Fang X, Zhang J, Wan H, et al. Comparison of subcellular distribution and chemical forms of cadmium among four soybean cultivars at young seedlings. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2015;22(24):19584–95.
54. Hall JL. Cellular mechanisms for heavy metal detoxification and tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2002;53(366):1–11. doi:10.1093/jexbot/53.366.1
55. Pomponi M, Censi V, Di Girolamo V, De Paolis A, Di Toppi LS, Aromolo R, et al. Overexpression of Arabidopsis phytochelatin synthase in tobacco plants enhances Cd<sup>2+</sup> tolerance and accumulation but not translocation to the shoot. *Planta*. 2006;223(2):180–90.
56. Nussbaum S, Schmutz D, Brunold C. Regulation of assimilatory sulfate reduction by cadmium in *Zea mays* L. *Plant Physiology*. 1988;88(4):1407–10.
57. Rügsegger A, Brunold C. Effect of Cadmium on  $\gamma$ -Glutamylcysteine Synthesis in Maize Seedlings. *Plant Physiology*. 1992;99(2):428–33. doi:10.1104/pp.99.2.428
58. Chen J, Goldsbrough PB. Increased activity of [gamma]-glutamylcysteine synthetase in tomato cells selected for cadmium tolerance. *Plant physiology*. 1994;106(1):233–9.

59. de Knecht JA, Koevoets PL, Verkleij JA, Ernst WH. Evidence against a role for phytochelatins in naturally selected increased cadmium tolerance in *Silene vulgaris* (Moench) Garcke. *New Phytologist*. 1992;122(4):681–8.
60. Hediji H, Djebali W, Cabasson C, Maucourt M, Baldet P, Bertrand A, et al. Effects of long-term cadmium exposure on growth and metabolomic profile of tomato plants. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*. 2010;73(8):1965–74.
61. Baker AJM, McGrath S, Reeves D, Smith J, Terry N, Banuelos G. Metal hyperaccumulator plants: a review of the ecology and physiology of a biological resource for phytoremediation of metal-polluted soils. *Phytoremediation of contaminated soil and water*. 2000;171–88.
62. He S, He Z, Yang X, Stoffella PJ, Baligar VC. Chapter Four-Soil Biogeochemistry, Plant Physiology, and Phytoremediation of Cadmium-Contaminated Soils (DL Sparks, Ed.). 2015;135–225.
63. Andal FA. Assessment of the possible utilization of tomato as a phytoremediant in soils artificially contaminated with heavy metals. *International Journal of Applied Environmental Sciences*. 2016;11(1):193–209.
64. Sbartai H, Sbartai I, Djebar MR, Berrebah H. Phytoremediation of contaminated soils by heavy metals - “Case Tomato” | *International Society for Horticultural Science. Acta Horticulturae*. 2017;95–100.
65. López-Millán A-F, Sagardoy R, Solanas M, Abadía A, Abadía J. Cadmium toxicity in tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum* plants grown in hydroponics. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2009;65(2–3):376–85.
66. Xie W, Xiong S, Xu W, Chen R, Zhang J, Xiong Z. Effect of exogenous lanthanum on accumulation of cadmium and its chemical form in tomatoes. *Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences*. 2014;19(3):221–8.
67. Yang Y, Zhou K, Xu WH, Jian L, Wang CL, Xiong SJ, et al. Effect of exogenous iron on photosynthesis, quality, and accumulation of cadmium in different varieties of tomato. *J Plant Nutr Fertil*. 2015;21(4):1006–15.
68. Mediouni C, Benzarti O, Tray B, Ghorbel MH, Jemal F. Cadmium and copper toxicity for tomato seedlings. 2006;227–32.
69. Brown SL, Angle JS, Chaney RL, Baker AJM. Zinc and cadmium uptake by hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens* grown in nutrient solution. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 1995;59(1):125–33.
70. Rehman F, Khan FA, Varshney D, Naushin F, Rastogi J. Effect of cadmium on the growth of tomato. *Biol Med*. 2011;3(2):187–90.
71. Hasan SA, Hayat S, Ahmad A. Screening of tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum* cultivars against cadmium through shotgun approach. *Journal of Plant Interactions*. 2009;4(3):187–201. doi:10.1080/17429140802474412



72. Hussain MM, Saeed A, Khan AA, Javid S, Fatima B. Differential responses of one hundred tomato genotypes grown under cadmium stress. *Genetics and Molecular Research*. 2015;14(4):13162–71.
73. Arshad M, Ali S, Noman A, Ali Q, Rizwan M, Farid M, et al. Phosphorus amendment decreased cadmium (Cd) uptake and ameliorates chlorophyll contents, gas exchange attributes, antioxidants, and mineral nutrients in wheat *Triticum aestivum* L. under Cd stress. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 2016;62(4):533–46.
74. Samet H, Çikili Y, Atikmen NÇ. Role of Potassium in Alleviation of Cadmium Toxicity in Sunflower *Helianthus annuus* L. *Journal of Agricultural Faculty of Gaziosmanpasa University (JAFAG)*. 2017;34(1):179–88.
75. Cakmak I. The role of potassium in alleviating detrimental effects of abiotic stresses in plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*. 2005;168(4):521–30.
76. Kao CH. Cadmium stress in rice plants: influence of essential elements. *Crop Environ. Bioinform*. 2014;11:113–8.
77. Liu C-H, Chao Y-Y, Kao CH. Effect of potassium deficiency on antioxidant status and cadmium toxicity in rice seedlings. *Botanical studies*. 2013;54(1):2.
78. Chou T-S, Chao Y-Y, Huang W-D, Hong C-Y, Kao CH. Effect of magnesium deficiency on antioxidant status and cadmium toxicity in rice seedlings. *Journal of Plant Physiology*. 2011;168(10):1021–30.
79. Cho S-C, Chao Y-Y, Kao CH. Calcium deficiency increases Cd toxicity and Ca is required for heat-shock induced Cd tolerance in rice seedlings. *Journal of plant physiology*. 2012;169(9):892–8.
80. Lin Y-L, Chao Y-Y, Huang W-D, Kao CH. Effect of nitrogen deficiency on antioxidant status and Cd toxicity in rice seedlings. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 2011;64(3):263–73.
81. Anjum NA, Umar S, Ahmad A, Iqbal M, Khan NA. Sulphur protects mustard *Brassica campestris* L. from cadmium toxicity by improving leaf ascorbate and glutathione. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 2008;54(3):271–9.
82. Bashir H, Ibrahim MM, Bagheri R, Ahmad J, Arif IA, Baig MA, et al. Influence of sulfur and cadmium on antioxidants, phytochelatins and growth in Indian mustard. *AoB Plants*. 2015;7.
83. Rizwan M, Ali S, Hussain A, Ali Q, Shakoor MB, Zia-ur-Rehman M, et al. Effect of zinc-lysine on growth, yield and cadmium uptake in wheat *Triticum aestivum* L.) and health risk assessment. *Chemosphere*. 2017;187:35–42.
84. Li M-Q, Hasan MK, Li C-X, Ahammed GJ, Xia X-J, Shi K, et al. Melatonin mediates selenium-induced tolerance to cadmium stress in tomato plants. *Journal of Pineal Research*. 2016;61(3):291–302.

85. Wu J, Guo J, Hu Y, Gong H. Distinct physiological responses of tomato and cucumber plants in silicon-mediated alleviation of cadmium stress. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2015;6:453.
86. Shi G, Cai Q, Liu C, Wu L. Silicon alleviates cadmium toxicity in peanut plants in relation to cadmium distribution and stimulation of antioxidative enzymes. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 2010;61(1):45–52.
87. Ashraf M, Imtiaz M, Abid M, Afzal M, Shahzad SM. Reuse of wastewater for irrigating tomato plants (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) through silicon supplementation. *Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination*. 2013;3(2):128–39.
88. Lu H, Li Z, Wu J, Shen Y, Li Y, Zou B, et al. Influences of calcium silicate on chemical forms and subcellular distribution of cadmium in *Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7:40583.
89. Carneiro JM, Chacón-Madrid K, Galazzi RM, Campos BK, Arruda SC, Azevedo RA, et al. Evaluation of silicon influence on the mitigation of cadmium-stress in the development of *Arabidopsis thaliana* through total metal content, proteomic and enzymatic approaches. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*. 2017;44:50–8.
90. Shakirova FM, Allagulova CR, Maslennikova DR, Klyuchnikova EO, Avalbaev AM, Bezrukova MV. Salicylic acid-induced protection against cadmium toxicity in wheat plants. *Environmental and experimental botany*. 2016;122:19–28.